

Message

---

**From:** St. Fleur, Marilyn [StFleur.Marilyn@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 8/20/2019 7:35:25 PM  
**To:** Echeverria, Marietta [Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov]; Matuszko, Jan [Matuszko.Jan@epa.gov]; Rust, Mary [Rust.Mary@epa.gov]; Anderson, Brian [Anderson.Brian@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** FW: Subject: Fwd: Lawsuit Challenges Trump EPA's 200 Million-acre Expansion of Bee-killing Pesticide

Fyi – press release regarding a sulfoxaflor lawsuit. OCSPP's response is also included below. Please keep me posted if you hear of any EFED related lawsuits.

Thank you,  
Marilyn

---

**From:** Daguiard, Robert <Daguiard.Robert@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2019 1:33 PM  
**To:** OPP FEAD CSB <OPP\_FEAD\_CSB@epa.gov>; Dunn, Alexandra <dunn.alexandra@epa.gov>; Sisco, Debby <Sisco.Debby@epa.gov>; Fischer, David <Fischer.David@epa.gov>; Bolen, Derrick <bolen.derrick@epa.gov>; Han, Kaythi <Han.Kaythi@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Altieri, Sonia <Altieri.Sonia@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Subject: Fwd: Lawsuit Challenges Trump EPA's 200 Million-acre Expansion of Bee-killing Pesticide

FYI. Corry S. drafted the response, using language from the news release. We also sent this to BNV's Adam Allington.

Cheers, R.

Robert Daguiard  
Communications Officer (Detail)  
Office of Chemical Safety  
and Pollution Prevention  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Washington, DC  
+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)  
+1 (202) 360-0476 (M)

**From:** Rebecca Beitsch <rebecca@thehill.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2019 1:29 PM  
**To:** Daguiard, Robert <Daguiard.Robert@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Subject: Fwd: Lawsuit Challenges Trump EPA's 200 Million-acre Expansion of Bee-killing Pesticide

Thanks!

Rebecca Beitsch  
Energy and Environment Reporter  
The Hill  
[rebecca@thehill.com](mailto:rebecca@thehill.com) | [@rebeccabeitsch](https://twitter.com/rebeccabeitsch)

On Tue, Aug 20, 2019 at 12:37 PM Daguiard, Robert <Daguiard.Robert@epa.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Rebecca,

EPA does not comment on pending litigation. In regards to sulfoxaflor, after conducting an extensive risk analysis, including the review of one of the agency's largest datasets on the effects of a pesticide on bees, EPA approved the use of sulfoxaflor on alfalfa, corn, cacao, grains (millet, oats), pineapple, sorghum, teff, teosinte, tree plantations, citrus, cotton, cucurbits (squash, cucumbers, watermelons, some gourds), soybeans, and strawberries. This registration provides benefits to growers and is supported by strong science that shows minimal risks for pollinators. - **EPA Spokesperson**

Please see our release for more information: <https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-registers-long-term-uses-sulfoxaflor-while-ensuring-strong-pollinator-protection>

**Robert Daguillard**  
**Communications Officer (Detail)**  
**Office of Chemical Safety**  
**and Pollution Prevention**  
**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency**  
**Washington, DC**  
**+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)**  
**+1 (202) 360-0476 (M)**

On Aug 20, 2019, at 12:11 PM, Daguillard, Robert <[Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov](mailto:Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov)> wrote:

Good afternoon Rebecca,

A quick word to acknowledge receipt.

Thanks, R.

**Robert Daguillard**  
**Communications Officer (Detail)**  
**Office of Chemical Safety**  
**and Pollution Prevention**  
**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency**  
**Washington, DC**  
**+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)**  
**+1 (202) 360-0476 (M)**

**Resent-From:** <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>  
**From:** Rebecca Beitsch <[rebecca@thehill.com](mailto:rebecca@thehill.com)>  
**Date:** August 20, 2019 at 11:56:01 AM EDT  
**To:** Press <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Lawsuit Challenges Trump EPA's 200 Million-acre Expansion of Bee-killing Pesticide

Hey guys,

Would you like to comment on this?

Thanks!

Rebecca Beitsch  
Energy and Environment Reporter  
The Hill  
[rebecca@thehill.com](mailto:rebecca@thehill.com) | [@rebeccabeitsch](https://twitter.com/rebeccabeitsch)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lori Ann Burd**<[laburd@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:laburd@biologicaldiversity.org)>  
Date: Tue, Aug 20, 2019 at 11:34 AM  
Subject: Lawsuit Challenges Trump EPA's 200 Million-acre Expansion of Bee-killing Pesticide  
To: <[rbeitsch@thehill.com](mailto:rbeitsch@thehill.com)>



CENTER for BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

*Because life is good.*

For Immediate Release, August 20, 2019

Contact: Lori Ann Burd, (847) 567-  
4052, [laburd@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:laburd@biologicaldiversity.org)  
George Kimbrell, (971) 271-  
7372, [gkimbrell@centerforfoodsafety.org](mailto:gkimbrell@centerforfoodsafety.org)

### **Lawsuit Challenges Trump EPA's 200 Million-acre Expansion of Bee-killing Pesticide**

PORTLAND, *Ore.*— The Center for Biological Diversity and Center for Food Safety sued the Trump administration today over its July decision to approve use of the bee-killing pesticide sulfoxaflor across more than 200 million acres of crops.

The approval was granted despite the fact that the Environmental Protection Agency's own scientists concluded that sulfoxaflor is "very highly toxic" to bees. The decision expands the pesticide's use to a wide range of crops that attract bees, including soybeans, cotton, strawberries, squash and citrus.

"Even for Trump's EPA, which seems to measure success by pesticide-company profits, it's stupefying to OK spraying a bee-killing poison across millions of acres of crops frequented by bees," said Lori Ann Burd, director of the Center

for Biological Diversity's environmental health program. "While leading scientists and countries across the globe are calling for eliminating harmful bee-killing pesticides like sulfoxaflor, Team Trump is cheerfully promoting its use like a corporate PR firm. It's nauseating."

Today's lawsuit, filed in the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, contends that before approving the sweeping new uses of sulfoxaflor, the EPA failed in its legal duty to compile "substantial evidence" required under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act. FIFRA, as it's known, is the federal law underpinning the U.S. system of pesticide regulation, designed to protect applicators, consumers and the environment.

The EPA also violated its duty to ensure that its approval of sulfoxaflor doesn't jeopardize the continued existence of endangered species by consulting on the effects of its actions with wildlife experts at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service.

In addition to imperiling bees and butterflies, the EPA's broad approval for sulfoxaflor also likely threatens endangered plants that rely on insect pollination.

Under the new approval, sulfoxaflor can be used on alfalfa, cacao, corn, cotton, grains, pineapple, sorghum, soybeans and strawberries as well as on tree plantations, ornamental crops and citrus orchards.

"This decision was pure pro-pesticide politics," said George Kimbrell, legal director of the Center for Food Safety. "Trump's EPA can't justify throwing our already imperiled pollinators under the bus. That's why the agency offered no chance for the public to comment. And that's why we're suing them."

To achieve its goal of approving sulfoxaflor, the Trump EPA rejected the findings of a highly relevant study published in the scientific journal *Nature* — the world's gold standard for peer-reviewed journals — that found even low doses of sulfoxaflor exposure had severe consequences for bumblebee reproductive success.

Instead the EPA chose to accept only the findings of a confidential, non-peer-reviewed Dow Chemical study that concluded sulfoxaflor is less harmful to bumblebees. But even the Dow study found that the level of sulfoxaflor considered safe for bumblebees to consume is five times *lower* than the dose the EPA had identified as safe for honeybees.

Independent studies have shown that honeybees are less susceptible to the effects of pesticides than other pollinators, especially native solitary bees, due to the resilience provided by living in highly structured hives. Yet the EPA failed to even consider the pesticide's impacts on the nation's more than 4,000 species of native bees.

The EPA ultimately concluded that the dose of sulfoxaflor considered safe for honeybees is safe for all insects.

At the request of industry, the EPA waived the legal requirement for a full-field study of the pesticide's impacts on pollinators, saying it would "not add meaningful input to our conclusions," indicating that the approval was a foregone conclusion.

The EPA also weakened the few restrictions in place on spraying sulfoxaflor designed to protect native pollinators and other wildlife, eliminating all requirements for buffers even during aerial spraying.

And the EPA approved spraying of crops with sulfoxaflor just before — or even during — bloom, exposing pollinators to a pesticide the agency knows harms them.

*Media Resources: Sulfoxaflor [fact sheet here](#).*

Rusty patched bumble bee, Eau Claire, by Tamara Smith/USFWS available for media use with appropriate credit. Image is available for media use.

*The Center for Biological Diversity is a national, nonprofit conservation organization with more than 1.6 million members and online activists dedicated to the protection of endangered species and wild places.*

*About CFS: Center for Food Safety's mission is to empower people, support farmers, and protect the earth from the harmful impacts of industrial agriculture. Through groundbreaking legal, scientific, and grassroots action, we protect and promote your right to safe food and the environment. Please join our more than 950,000 advocates across the country at [www.centerforfoodsafety.org](http://www.centerforfoodsafety.org). Twitter: @CFSTrueFood, @CFS\_Press*

If you would rather not receive future communications from Center for Biological Diversity, let us know by clicking [here](#).

Center for Biological Diversity, P.O. Box 710, Tucson, AZ 85702 United States